

## **Draft Over Village Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)**

### **Consultation Statement and Proposed Changes December 2019**

#### **1. Background**

South Cambridgeshire District Council as the Local Planning Authority developed the draft SPD in collaboration with the local community and other stakeholders since autumn 2018. The Over Village Design Guide SPD has been prepared to assist in delivering the objectives as set out in Policy HQ/1: High Quality Design of the adopted South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2018 as well as other related policies.

This consultation statement has been prepared in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Plan) (England) Regulations 2012. Regulation 12 requires that SCDC prepare a consultation statement setting out the persons consulted when preparing the SPD, a summary of the main issues raised by those persons and how these have been addressed in the SPD.

#### **2. Preparation of the draft SPD**

The District Council as the Local Planning Authority contracted specialist consultants to work constructively with the local community and other stakeholders, through a series of workshops and events, during the autumn of 2018. A project champion was nominated by the village community and a community steering group was assembled comprising community members representing different interest groups, including the parish council.

Initial workshops were held with the steering group and other members of the local community to allow the community to voice their perceptions of the character of the village, and their priorities for design guidance to be included in the draft SPD. This input and how it is reflected in the document is captured in the Community Input section of the SPD (Chapter 3).

Further workshops and review sessions were held with the community steering group in early 2019 on drafts of the SPD to gain feedback on the emerging guidance and to ensure that it reflected community priorities and a consensus view.

Workshops were also held by SCDC with officers from the development management (planning) team as key users for the SPD. This has helped to shape the form and content of the draft SPD. The emerging draft SPD was further reviewed by a nominated senior officer from the development management team to comment in more detail on its structure and content from a user perspective.

The draft SPD sought to incorporate this feedback constructively and to balance the priorities and views of the village community with the requirements of a useful and robust policy document.

#### **3. Consultation on the draft SPD**

A public consultation on the draft Over Village Design Guide SPD was held for 6 weeks between the 15<sup>th</sup> April 2019 and 31 May 2019. The proposed modifications address the issues raised by the consultation responses. Consultation on the SPD was undertaken in accordance with the Statement of Community Involvement adopted in 2010.

As the draft SPD supports the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan, there was no further need to undertake a separate Strategic Environmental Assessment or Habitats Regulations Assessment for this document, although screening reports were completed and made available during the consultation. An Equalities Impact Assessment was also completed and made available during the consultation.

The draft SPD and other supporting documents were available for inspection during the consultation period at the following locations:

- online on the Council’s website;o
- at South Cambridgeshire Hall, Cambourne Business Park, Cambourne, CB23 6EA; and
- at a public exhibition at the Over Community Centre on 1 May 2019 from 3.30-8pm, when officers were on hand to answer questions.

Comments could be made using:

- the online consultation system: <https://scambs.jdi-consult.net/localplan/>; or
- by completing the consultation response form and either emailing or posting it to us at [vds@scambs.gov.uk](mailto:vds@scambs.gov.uk) or South Cambridgeshire Hall, Cambourne Business Park, Cambourne, CB23 6EA.

The SPD consultation was advertised via a public notice in the Cambridge News on 10 April 2019, and on the Council’s website and social media.

#### 4. Consultees

A list of the organisations who were directly notified of the draft Over Village Design Guide (SPD) in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 (as amended) via email, or post where no email address was available, can be found as Appendix A. It should be noted that other individuals were also contacted that do not appear on this list.

#### 5. Consultation Outcome / Key Issues Raised

During the consultation, 25 representations were received, made by 18 respondents. Of the representations, 36% were supports, 16% were objections and 48% were comments.

26 people visited the public exhibition where the main topics of discussion were:

- Location of potential/aspirational footpath links and public open space
- Desire for more cycleways and more accessible paths
- Affordable housing and bungalows for downsizers
- Parking provision

The following series of tables identifies the written representations received to each part of the SPD, summarises the main issues raised, provides a Council assessment of the issues and where necessary what proposed modifications to the SPD are indicated.

<b>1. Introduction (including general (not chapter specific) representations)</b>				
<b>Representations Received</b>	Support: 3	Object: 1	Comment: 5	Total: 9
<b>Main issues in reps</b> 67907 67900 67893	<b>Support</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Over Parish Council</b> Over Parish Council support this Village Design Guide and believe that it gives a fair representation of the Village of Over.</li> <li>• <b>Cambridge Past Present and Future</b> Support the village</li> </ul>			

<p>67886 67879 67872 67827 67710 67820</p>	<p>SPDS. Green infrastructure is important and the principle of retaining or enhancing the connectivity of habitats is incorporated. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>BPHA</b> Affordable housing should be addressed in the documents in terms of the approach to meeting specific housing needs with regard to density parameters. VDGs should strike a balance between innovation and following a rigid design approach with reference to Modern Methods of Construction. Strongly support improvements being made to the public realm to contribute towards the viability of local service provision within village centres. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]</li> </ul> <p><b>Object</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I support a lot of what's within the plan but do feel strongly that 21st century design should be allowed. Also D3 is not a suitable location for playspace. It's not well situated for the village and very rural location.</li> </ul> <p><b>Comment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Cambridgeshire LLFA</b> welcome the consideration of using flood risk management measures to promote biodiversity in the Village Design Guide SPD. It should further promote sustainable drainage techniques (SuDS). [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]</li> <li>• <b>Forestry Commission</b> Tree species choice needs to be considered re climate change [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]</li> <li>• <b>Natural England</b> SPDs could consider making provision for green infrastructure, wildlife development and enhance character and local distinctiveness through green infrastructure and contact with nature. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]</li> <li>• <b>Cambridgeshire Police</b> Secured by Design can be achieved, developers should seek advice at an early stage from the Designing Out Crime Officer. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]</li> <li>• <b>Sport England</b> Supports the development of safe pedestrian and cycle routes through all new development, reference should be widened to refer to opportunities for all types of formal and informal sport and physical activity. [General comment submitted for all the Village Design Guide SPDs]</li> </ul>
<p><b>Council's Assessment</b></p>	<p>Welcome support</p> <p><u>21<sup>st</sup> century design</u>: The Village Design Guide makes it clear that 21<sup>st</sup> century/contemporary approaches to design are welcomed. Chapter 9 shows examples of appropriate and less appropriate non-traditional design approaches and further examples could</p>

	<p>help to demonstrate what is felt to be appropriate.</p> <p><u>D3 (figure 27)</u> – this area was identified due to an error in the process and will be omitted.</p> <p><u>SuDS</u>: SuDS are supported in guidance at 8.7 and specific guidance on this is contained within other policies of the SCDC 2018 Local Plan, as well as in the draft Sustainable Design and Construction SPD and the Flood and Surface Water SPD.</p> <p><u>Tree species choice</u>: This is not specifically an Over issue and therefore not required to be covered in the Village Design Guide. Policies in Chapter 4 of the SCDC 2018 Local Plan cover the impact and mitigation of climate change. The new draft Sustainable Design and Construction SPD also contains guidance on trees and climate change resilience.</p> <p><u>Green infrastructure</u>: this is covered in chapters 6, 7 and 8 specifically which promote the role of green infrastructure in sustaining and developing the character of the village</p> <p><u>Secured by Design</u>: this is not specifically an Over issue and therefore not required to be covered in the Village Design Guide.</p> <p><u>Space for formal and informal physical activity</u>: the role of off-road routes and landscapes in new developments, in providing space for physical activity can be highlighted.</p>
<b>Proposed Modifications</b>	<p>Add mention of use of open space and off road routes for sport and physical activity to chapter 6, 7, and 8</p> <p>Add further example of appropriate non-traditional design approaches to chapter 9.</p> <p>Remove D3 from figure 29.</p>

<b>2. About Over</b>				
<b>Representations Received</b>	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 0	Total: 0

<b>3. Community Input</b>				
<b>Representations Received</b>	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 0	Total: 0

<b>4. Village landscapes</b>				
<b>Representations Received</b>	Support: 2	Object: 0	Comment: 0	Total: 2
<b>Main Issues in rep</b> 68308 67821	<p><b>Support</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support that the village should sustain its green fingers within the village and not infill develop these. Also support to maintain the village's compact shape.</li> <li><b>BPHA</b>: The importance of wildlife corridors is supported as is the recognition that this should be undertaken in a</li> </ul>			

	<p>cost effective way.</p> <p><b>Object</b> - None</p> <p><b>Comment</b> - None</p>
<b>Council's Assessment</b>	Welcome support
<b>Proposed Modifications</b>	None

<b>5. Character areas</b>				
<b>Representations Received</b>	Support: 1	Object: 0	Comment: 0	Total: 1
<b>Main Issues in rep 67822</b>	<p><b>Support</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support that infill and back garden development in the Historic Core should be avoided and that we should consider reviewing to extend the conservation area to include Over End.</li> </ul> <p><b>Object</b> - None</p> <p><b>Comment</b> - None</p>			
<b>Council's Assessment</b>	Welcome support			
<b>Proposed Modifications</b>	None			

<b>6. Amenity space</b>				
<b>Representations Received</b>	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 2	Total: 2
<b>Main Issues in rep 67828 67826</b>	<p><b>Support</b> - None</p> <p><b>Object</b> - None</p> <p><b>Comment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>D3 was marked as a potential amenity space. We have fed back verbally face to face and via email that this is not a viable site as this is our house and garden.</li> <li><b>Abbey Properties:</b> The SPD is seeking to unlawfully allocate land identified in Figure 27 as being land for potential public open space and is furthermore inconsistent with itself in that regard. We acknowledge the use of the word 'potential' but have substantial reservations that this will be interpreted in any other way than as an allocation of the land for such uses. The adopted Local Plan is clearly at odds with the draft SPD with regard to the Main Modification SC235 which removed a proposed allocation of the land identified as D1 on Figure 27 as undeliverable. The representation indicates that judicial proceedings would be issued should the SPD be adopted in its current form.</li> </ul>			

<b>Council's Assessment</b>	<p><u>D3 (figure 27)</u> – this area was identified due to an error in the process and will be omitted.</p> <p><u>D1 (figure 27)</u> – the community engagement process identified an aspiration for additional public open space provision in this part of the village in general terms. To clarify that no allocation is being suggested, the markers on the map will be omitted.</p>
<b>Proposed Modifications</b>	Amend figure 27 and figure 29 to remove D1, D2 and D3, to clarify that no specific site allocation is being suggested for other potential public open spaces but a broad aspiration is recorded.

<b>7. A connected village</b>				
<b>Representations Received</b>	Support: 2	Object: 1	Comment: 2	Total: 5
<p><b>Main issues in rep</b> 68309 67829 67823 67819 67722</p>	<p><b>Support</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Support the recommendation to extend footways and cycleways towards Willingham, widen towards Swavesey and enable circular routes. We would also suggest that the recommendation to improve footway and cycleway access across the river is advantageous, meaning the accessing villages/towns on the other side of the river is faster by bike than by car, thereby reducing traffic</li> <li><b>BPHA:</b> We support the proposals for better linkages to the guided busway. Mobility is critical for access to hobs and services and it is recognised that access to a car is lower for those on lower incomes.</li> </ul> <p><b>Object</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Proposed new footpath at 23 Mills Road uses my private driveway and ends in my garden, with no possibility of onward exit to Chapmans' Way or Cox's End</li> </ul> <p><b>Comment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>A safe footpath/cyclepath alongside Willingham Road, connecting the two villages, would be a vital transport link. Many people would be able to use this for work, shopping and leisure. Currently the road is not safe for pedestrians and less confident cyclists due to the speed and volume of traffic, and the verges are unsuitable in their current condition.</li> <li><b>British Horse Society:</b> The BHS is very pleased to see the inclusion of equestrians in the Over VDG and supports all the ideas for improving the bridleway network, creating new bridleways and upgrading footpaths to bridleway status. However some of the points in the plan do not mention the inclusion of equestrians and this needs to be correct. There is no reason not to include equestrians in future access, for instance in point 7 there is no mention of equestrian inclusion in the routes to the Guided Busway, and East towards Willingham. Nor is there any mention if equestrians in the routes along Swavesey Drain.</li> </ul>			
<b>Council's</b>	Welcome support			

<b>Assessment</b>	<p><u>Footpath</u>: this was incorrectly shown and will be corrected.</p> <p><u>Footpath/cycleway</u>: this was also raised as a priority by attendees at the public exhibition and it would seem appropriate to include as an aspiration on the map and in the guidance in this chapter.</p> <p><u>Equestrian provision</u>: it is appropriate to ensure that equestrian provision is mentioned alongside other non motorised users.</p>
<b>Proposed Modifications</b>	<p>Amend figure 29 and the guidance to clarify the aspirations for footpath routes.</p> <p>Ensure equestrians are mentioned alongside pedestrians and cyclists</p>

<b>8. The green network</b>				
<b>Representations Received</b>	Support: 1	Object: 2	Comment: 1	Total: 4
<p><b>Main Issues in reps</b> 68310 67830 67728 67709</p>	<p><b>Support</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>BPHA</b>: At paragraph 8.7 it is stated 'Surface of green lanes should be permeable and easy to maintain'. We support the provision of a green land network this should consider the whole life costing of mterials to be used. In addition consideration should be given to the materials being acceptable for cycling. Sustrans give useful guidance in the following document: <a href="http://www.sustrans.org.uk/sites/default/files/images/files/migrated-pdfs/Technical%20Note%208%20-%20Path%20surfaces(1).pdf">www.sustrans.org.uk/sites/default/files/images/files/migrated-pdfs/Technical%20Note%208%20-%20Path%20surfaces(1).pdf</a></li> </ul> <p><b>Object</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The areas depicted as dark green and noted as 'green space within development' within Papworth's Close should not be highlighted as such as they are privately owned by Nr 1 and Nr 5. There is no public footpath leading into Papworth's Close as shown between nr 4 and nr 5. 2. The entire area of Papworth's Close is privately owned and there is no Public Right of Way within Papworth's Close. The footpath is for the sole use of the residents of Papworth's Close. It is noted that there are six dwellings in Papworth's Cose. This is incorrect there are five, nr 21 the Lanes is incorrectly included within Papworth's Close.</li> </ul> <p><b>Comment</b> – None</p>			
<b>Council's Assessment</b>	<p><u>Green space within development</u>: The aim of the green space maps is to show not only publicly accessible green areas but other areas that form part of the green network which forms part of the distinctive character of Over supports biodiversity. The</p>			

	<p>maps do contain some mapping errors which will be corrected.</p> <p><u>Public footpath</u>: This footpath link was created as part of the Papworth Close development and the planning application specifically described it as a publicly accessible route. We feel it is therefore appropriate to show it as a pedestrian route although it is not a formally adopted public footpath.</p> <p><u>Papworth's Close</u>: this is noted and can be corrected.</p>
<b>Proposed Modifications</b>	Correct figure 30 to show green space and pedestrian routes instead of public footpaths. Correct number of dwellings in Papworth Close.

<b>9. Streetscape and materials</b>				
<b>Representations Received</b>	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 1	Total: 1
<b>Main Issues in reps</b> 67824	<p><b>Support</b> - None</p> <p><b>Object</b> - None</p> <p><b>Comment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Comment on the recommendation that individual houses should have elevations in a single material. We do not believe this is necessarily reflective of the area (our home is an example of brick and weatherboarding and we are in the conservation area). However maintaining sympathetic designs in keeping with the adjacent and general village character is extremely important to us.</li> </ul>			
<b>Council's Assessment</b>	The guidance does not specify that houses should only be clad in a single material, but notes that it is more characteristic for there to be a clear primary material for cladding, with other materials used in a clearly secondary manner.			
<b>Proposed Modifications</b>	Add additional illustration to demonstrate the different approaches that are appropriate to the village character.			

<b>10. Village edges</b>				
<b>Representations Received</b>	Support: 0	Object: 0	Comment: 1	Total: 1
<b>Main Issues in reps</b> 67825	<p><b>Support</b> - None</p> <p><b>Object</b> - None</p> <p><b>Comment</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The suggestion is made that the Southern edges of the village are more suitable for development, and the prairie edge to the East is less suitable for development. We do not necessarily agree with this. Development towards the Southern (guided busway) end would merge Over with Swavesey, although appreciate it would provide good access to the public transport system. The greater separation between the villages of Over and Willingham we feel would allow better development towards this end of the village.</li> </ul>			
<b>Council's</b>	The guidance does not suggest that any area outside the village			



<b>Assessment</b>	development framework, as defined in the SCDC 2018 Local Plan, should be developed. The analysis explains which edges are more sensitive in view of their landscape character.
<b>Proposed Modifications</b>	Amend text to clarify the status of areas covered by this guidance.

## 6. Schedule of changes to the SPD

**Note: minor graphic amendments, spelling and other corrections are not listed.**

### Chapter 5

Page 9 column 3: delete 'very' between 'have' and 'poor'. Add 'at Cox's End' after 'street environment'. Replace 'and Cox's End is particularly disliked' with 'is felt to be uncharacteristic of the village.'

### Chapter 6

Figure 27: Omit D1, D2 and D3 from the figure.

Guidance point 6.2: amend to 'Opportunities should be sought to provide amenity space through new development, outside of the developments themselves where this would meet community aspirations and help reinforce village character. These could include:

- Larger scale informal recreation space
- Additional sports fields to increase capacity in addition to the existing Green and community centre fields, which are intensively used. This is a priority for the community.
- New publicly accessible green spaces within the countryside surrounding Over, for example by opening historic orchards for public access or creating community meadows. Consideration should be given to siting new publicly accessible green spaces in proximity to pedestrian, cycle and public transport links including the Guided Busway.'

### Chapter 7

Fig 29 – Amend graphic representation of the potential routes (except where they follow existing streets) to clarify their aspirational nature. Remove incorrect footpath routes. Remove D3, D2 and D1 from the figure.

7.3 Amend 'foot and cycle routes' to 'routes for pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians' and show this as such on the map.

Add an aspiration for a cycleway along the Willingham Road to the guidance points and figure 29

### Chapter 8

Figure 30 – Amend green shading to remove areas of back gardens. Correct number of homes in Papworth's Close from 6 to 5. Amend annotation from 'public footpath' to 'pedestrian route'.

### Chapter 9

Add further image showing appropriate non-vernacular design approaches.

### Chapter 10

Page 15 paragraph 5 – delete the second and third sentences and replace with 'Change to these edges is more shielded from views from the surrounding countryside and would need to preserve the landscape belt to retain the soft visual edge, and create suitable amenity space.'

Point 10: omit 'Desired amenity land and expansion of playing fields'

## 7. List of consultees

3CT (Haverhill Community Transport)  
A2 Dominion Housing Group  
Abbotsley Parish Council  
Abellio Greater Anglia  
Abington Pigotts Parish Council  
Accent Nene Housing Society Limited  
Advisory Council for the Education of  
Gypsy and other Travellers (ACERT)  
Affinity Water  
Age UK Cambridgeshire  
Airport Operators Association  
Anglia Ruskin University - Cambridge  
Campus  
Anglian Water Services Limited  
Arrington Parish Council  
Ashdon Parish Council  
Ashwell Parish Council  
Babraham Parish Council  
Balsham Parish Council  
Bar Hill Parish Council  
Barley Parish Council  
Barrington Parish Council  
Barrington Parish Council  
Bartlow Parish Council  
Barton Parish Council  
Bassingbourn cum Kneesworth Parish  
Council  
Bedford Borough Council  
Bedfordshire and River Ivel Internal  
Drainage Board  
Bedfordshire Pilgrims Housing Association  
Bidwells  
Bluntisham Parish Council  
Bottisham Parish Council  
Bourn Parish Council  
Bovis Homes (South East)  
Boxworth Parish Council  
Braintree District Council  
Brinkley Parish Council  
British Gas  
British Horse Society  
British Romany Union  
Building Research Establishment  
Caldecote Parish Council  
Cam Valley Forum  
Cambourne Parish Council  
Cambridge and County Developments  
(formerly Cambridge Housing Society)  
Cambridge Area Bus Users  
Cambridge Campaign for Better Transport  
Cambridge Council for Voluntary Service  
Cambridge Cycling Campaign  
Cambridge Dial a Ride  
Cambridge Ethnic Community Forum  
Cambridge Federation of Tenants  
Leaseholders and Residents Assoc.  
Cambridge Forum of Disabled People  
Cambridge GET Group  
Cambridge Inter-Faith Group  
Cambridge Past Present and Future  
Cambridge Peterborough and South  
Lincolnshire (CPSL) Mind  
Cambridge Race Equality & Diversity  
Service  
Cambridge Regional College  
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS  
Foundation Trust  
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS  
Foundation Trust  
Cambridge Water (South Staffs Water)  
Cambridge Women's Resource Centre  
(CWRC)  
Cambridgeshire & Peterborough  
Combined Authority  
Cambridgeshire & Peterborough NHS  
Foundation Trust  
Cambridgeshire ACRE  
Cambridgeshire and Peterborough  
Association of Local Councils  
Cambridgeshire Chamber of Commerce  
Cambridgeshire Community Foundation  
Cambridgeshire Constabulary  
Cambridgeshire County Council  
Cambridgeshire Ecumenical Council  
Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service  
Cambridgeshire Football Association  
Cambridgeshire Local Access Forum  
Cambs Fire Service (Operational Support  
Directorate)  
Campaign to Protect Rural England  
(CPRE)  
Care Network  
Carlton Cum Willingham Parish Council  
Castle Camps Parish Council  
Caxton Parish Council  
Central Bedfordshire Council  
Centre 33  
Chancellor, Masters and Scholars of the  
Univ. of Cambridge  
Childerley Parish Council  
Chrishall Parish Council  
Church Commissioners

Circle Anglia Housing Trust  
Civil Aviation Authority (CAA)  
Clarion Housing Group  
Comberton Parish Council  
Confederation of British Industry - East of  
England  
Conington Parish Council  
Conservators of the River Cam  
Cottenham Parish Council  
Country Land & Business Association  
Countryside Properties Plc  
Croydon Parish Council  
DB Schenker Rail (UK)  
Defence Lands Ops North  
Department for Business Innovation and  
Skills  
Department for Transport  
Department of Environment, Food and  
Rural Affairs  
Design Council CABE  
Disability Cambridgeshire  
Dry Drayton Parish Council  
Dunton Parish Council  
Duxford Parish Council  
Earith Parish Council  
East Cambridgeshire District Council  
Education Funding Agency  
EE  
Elmdon and Wendon Lofts Parish Council  
Elsworth Parish Council  
Eltisley Parish Council  
Ely Diocesan Board  
Ely Group of Internal Drainage Boards  
Environment Agency  
EON UK plc  
Essex County Council  
Everton Parish Council  
Eynesbury Hardwicke Parish Council  
Federation of Small Businesses  
Fen Ditton Parish Council  
Fen Drayton Parish Council  
Fenland District Council  
Fenstanton Parish Council  
Fields in Trust  
Flagship Homes  
Flagship Homes  
Forest Heath District Council  
Forestry Commission England  
Fowlmere Parish Council  
Foxton Parish Council  
Freight Transport Association  
Friends of the Earth  
Friends, Families and Travellers  
Community Base  
Fulbourn Parish Council

Gallagher Estates  
Girton Parish Council  
Godmanchester Town Council  
Grantchester Parish Council  
Graveley Parish Council  
Great Abington Parish Council  
Great and Little Chishill Parish Council  
Great and Little Eversden Parish Council  
Great Bradley Parish Council  
Great Chesterford Parish Council  
Great Gransden Parish Council  
Great Ouse Boating Association  
Great Shelford Parish Council  
Great Thurlow Parish Council  
Great Wilbraham Parish Council  
Greater Cambridge Partnership  
Guilden Morden Parish Council  
Haddenham Parish Council  
Hadstock Parish Council  
Hardwick Parish Council  
Harlton Parish Council  
Harston Parish Council  
Haslingfield Parish Council  
Hastoe Housing Association  
Hatley Parish Council  
Hauxton Parish Council  
Haverhill Town Council  
Hazardous Installations Inspectorate  
Health and Safety Executive  
Helions Bumpstead Parish Council  
Hertfordshire County Council  
Heydon Parish Council  
Highways England  
Hildersham Parish Council  
Hilton Parish Council  
Hinxton Parish Council  
Histon & Impington Parish Council  
Historic England  
Holywell-cum-Needlingworth Parish  
Council  
Home Builders Federation  
Homes and Communities Agency  
Horningsea Parish Council  
Horseheath Parish Council  
Hundred Houses Society Limited  
Huntingdonshire Association for  
Community Transport (HACT)  
Huntingdonshire District Council  
Hunts Health - Local Commissioning  
Group  
Iceni Homes  
Ickleton Parish Council  
Institute of Directors - Eastern Branch  
IWM Duxford  
Kelshall Parish Council

Kier Partnership Homes Limited  
King Street Housing Society  
Kingston Parish Council  
Knapwell Parish Council  
Landbeach Parish Council  
Linton Parish Council  
Litlington Parish Council  
Little Abington Parish Council  
Little Shelford Parish Council  
Little Thurlow Parish Council  
Little Wilbraham and Six Mile Bottom  
Parish Council  
Littlebury Parish Council  
Local Nature Partnership  
Lode Parish Council  
Lolworth Parish Council  
Longstanton Parish Council  
Longstowe Parish Council  
Luminus Group  
Marine Management Organisation  
Marshall of Cambridge (Holdings) Limited  
Melbourn Parish Council  
Meldreth Parish Council  
MENTER  
Middle Level Commissioners  
Milton Parish Council  
National Association of Health Workers  
with Travellers  
National Grid  
National House Building Council  
National Housing Federation  
National Travellers Action Group  
Natural England  
Network Rail  
Network Regulation  
Newton Parish Council  
NHS Cambridgeshire and Peterborough  
Clinical Commissioning Group  
NHS England (Midlands & East)  
NHS Property Services Ltd (Midlands &  
East)  
North Hertfordshire District Council  
Nuthampstead Parish Council  
Oakington and Westwick Parish Council  
Office of Rail and Road  
Offord Cluny and Offord Darcy Parish  
Council  
Openreach  
Orchard Park Community Council  
Ormiston Children's and Family Trust  
Orwell Parish Council  
Over and Willingham Internal Drainage  
Board  
Over Parish Council  
Pampisford Parish Council

Papworth Everard Parish Council  
Papworth Hospital NHS Foundation Trust  
Papworth Saint Agnes Parish Meeting  
Paradigm Housing Group  
Persimmon Homes East Midlands Limited  
Peterborough City Council  
Planning Inspectorate  
Post Office Property  
Potton Town Council  
Ramblers' Association [Cambridge Group]  
Rampton Parish Council  
Renewable UK  
Road Haulage Association  
Romany Institute  
Royal Mail  
Royal Society for the Protection of Birds  
(RSPB)  
Royston Community Transport  
Royston Town Council  
Sanctuary Housing Association  
Sawston Parish Council  
Scottish and Southern Electricity Group  
Shelter  
Shingay-cum-Wendy Parish Council  
Shudy Camps Parish Council  
Skills Funding Agency  
Smithy Fen Residents Association  
South Cambridgeshire District Council  
South Cambridgeshire Youth Council  
Sport England  
St Edmundsbury Borough Council  
St Ives Town Council  
St Neots Rural Parish Council  
Stagecoach East  
Stapleford Parish Council  
Steeple Morden Parish Council  
Stow-cum-Quy Parish Council  
Strethall Parish Council  
Stretham Parish Council  
Suffolk County Council  
Sustrans (East of England)  
Swaffham Bulbeck Parish Council  
Swaffham Prior Parish Council  
Swavesey Internal Drainage Board  
Swavesey Parish Council  
Tadlow Parish Council  
Taylor Wimpey East Anglia  
Teversham Parish Council  
The Amusement Catering Equip. Society  
(ACES)  
The Association of Circus Proprietors  
The Association of Independent Showmen  
(AIS)  
The Cambridgeshire Cottage Housing  
Society

The camToo Project  
The Crown Estate  
The Equality and Human Rights  
Commission  
The Gypsy Council (GCECWCRC)  
The Kite Trust  
The Lawn Tennis Association  
The Magog Trust  
The National Trust  
The Papworth Trust  
The Showman's Guild of Great Britain  
The Society of Independent Roundabout  
Proprietors  
The Theatres Trust  
The Traveller Law Reform Project  
The Traveller Movement  
The Varrier Jones Foundation  
The Wildlife Trust  
Three  
Thriplow Parish Council  
Toft Parish Council  
Toseland Parish Council  
Travel for Work Partnership  
Traveller Solidarity Network  
UK Power Networks  
University of Cambridge - Vice  
Chancellor's Office  
Uttlesford District Council  
Visit East Anglia Limited  
Vodafone and O2  
Waresley Parish Council  
West Suffolk (Forest Heath and St  
Edmundsbury Councils)  
West Wratting Parish Council  
Weston Colville Parish Council  
Whaddon Parish Council  
Whippet Coaches Limited  
Whittlesford Parish Council  
Wicken and Upware Parish Council  
Wilburton Parish Council  
Willingham Parish Council  
Wimpole Parish Council  
Withersfield Parish Council  
Wood Plc  
Woodland Trust  
Wrestlingworth and Cockayne Hatley  
Parish Council  
Yelling Parish Council